June 1, 2021, 7:00 p.m.
Maryland Citizens Redistricting Commission
Population Subcommittee Meeting

00:01:07.000 --> 00:01:13.000
For the at least the beginning of the meeting everybody should have
received this agenda.

00:01:13.000 --> 00:01:16.000
Just making sure.

00:01:16.000 --> 00:01:17.000
Okay.

00:01:17.000 --> 00:01:22.000
So with that, I'm just gonna I'm going to turn it over to, to, to alter.

00:01:22.000 --> 00:01:26.000
Okay, thank you, Kristen.

00:01:26.000 --> 00:01:32.000
Thank you for making time on this Monday.

00:01:32.000 --> 00:01:35.000
I hope our agenda will go pretty quickly.

00:01:35.000 --> 00:01:57.000
The first, which I suspect will not be news to any of the five of us but
it's important, I think, if anyone is viewing this perhaps after the
fact, on video is that ours is a committee that will be following the
same public meeting roles as the full commission,

00:01:57.000 --> 00:02:05.000
because we have five members and that means that we will be recording our
sessions.

00:02:05.000 --> 00:02:20.000
They will be viewable by the public when we're having them. And we will
be announcing the meetings and agendas, in advance, the same way that the
for Commission does have I left anything out or is that.

00:02:20.000 --> 00:02:30.000
Okay, then let's pass on to the second point, which is name of the group
and terminology.

00:02:30.000 --> 00:02:33.000
I will say,

00:02:33.000 --> 00:02:43.000
I had a number of go rounds about subcommittee versus committee, and I,
I'm ready to give up at this point because someone's.

00:02:43.000 --> 00:02:50.000

Definitely checked it out and that the Commission was a committee and therefore it.

00:02:50.000 --> 00:02:58.000
Our group had to be a subcommittee on the other hand I just went to the governor's executive order, and it said that the MC RC would have the power to create committed.

00:02:58.000 --> 00:03:03.000
So, I guess, all I can suggest is.

00:03:03.000 --> 00:03:11.000
Maybe we should try committee and then if we find that it's wrong we can change it later. Does anyone have any better situation than that.

00:03:11.000 --> 00:03:16.000
As far as whether we're going to call ourselves committee or subcommittee.

00:03:16.000 --> 00:03:18.000
Kristen Have you have you heard any of this.

00:03:18.000 --> 00:03:29.000
I don't know whether you were around when Sandy was saying, Sandy shredder was saying that she had some exposure to this issue from movies on something.

00:03:29.000 --> 00:03:40.000
Right. Um, so I think that Dr Hetherington also looked that up and I think that the phrase was supposed to be subcommittee, even though we are a commission that it was supposed to be subcommittee.

00:03:40.000 --> 00:03:41.000
Okay.

00:03:41.000 --> 00:03:44.000
I have zero second this does that.

00:03:44.000 --> 00:03:47.000
Does anyone object to call ourselves the subcommittee.

00:03:47.000 --> 00:04:04.000
Because otherwise okay let's call ourselves a subcommittee and get on to the slightly more interesting although I have to say my status is pretty minute in this too is what we call, do we call it population forecasting subcommittee or population projection

00:04:04.000 --> 00:04:10.000
or there could be other terms, and his suggestions on that.

00:04:10.000 --> 00:04:31.000
I, I think that the that the data team, unlike the Census Bureau uses a projections, historical and projected total population projected as seems

to just be the common phrase that they use, which is why we threw that one out there too.

00:04:31.000 --> 00:04:39.000
Okay yeah i was i was gonna say projections pops up a lot with census data so probably population projections subcommittee would make sense.

00:04:39.000 --> 00:04:56.000
I would offer or counter to that because it sounds like the work of the group will be over once the final information is out and I think there will be a lot of questions related to population.

00:04:56.000 --> 00:05:16.000
That will continue to come up while we're preparing maps and even moving forward. So, the way they had it listed as population subcommittee, I think is actually ok without any other qualifiers.

00:05:16.000 --> 00:05:29.000
This gets us to a bit of substance that our discussion the other week was about the forecasting side, and

00:05:29.000 --> 00:05:33.000
if we take on additional

00:05:33.000 --> 00:05:46.000
responsibilities. I kind of would like to run that by the full commission because they might, you know, might have things to say about that. Does that make sense or.

00:05:46.000 --> 00:06:04.000
It is certainly occurred to me that if we were just doing projections that once the census data did arrive, we would test the question of whether to turn to other types of things involved in the same data which we might.

00:06:04.000 --> 00:06:20.000
At that point, you know have too much momentum, largest disband that self committed and be able to use the energy for other things but any of us from a viewer.

00:06:20.000 --> 00:06:28.000
Jonathan Do you like the idea of more than just the population subcommittee or do you think that between us.

00:06:28.000 --> 00:06:49.000
I think you could go either way and be fine. I understand where Mary's coming from and I understand the, the point of view of looking at projection so I think in the long run, maybe staying with populations up committee makes the most sense.

00:06:49.000 --> 00:07:04.000
now maybe projections makes more sense but I forgot, if you, if you think the committee is going to be the subcommittee is going to be in needed well into the future then stick with population subcommittee.

00:07:04.000 --> 00:07:08.000
Cheryl William any thoughts on that.

00:07:08.000 --> 00:07:11.000
I'll be moving subcommittees phone.

00:07:11.000 --> 00:07:26.000
Okay, here, I mean it's it's a more general nice specific but yeah
population.

00:07:26.000 --> 00:07:39.000
Okay, so population so committed is. That leads us, naturally, to item to
scope of subcommittees work and purposes served.

00:07:39.000 --> 00:08:01.000
And again we are leaving some open mindedness here because we may find
that there are things that it's the subcommittee as well well suited to
do, but that besides this but certainly we want to at least start by

00:08:01.000 --> 00:08:22.000
this task of projections, to serve it until census data is available to
go to a head start so that people will be able to better calculate, what
types of districts to propose by better knowing what population change
has been.

00:08:22.000 --> 00:08:26.000
Any thoughts on that or. He started.

00:08:26.000 --> 00:08:28.000
Okay way of describing it.

00:08:28.000 --> 00:08:34.000
I think also the committee will be doing some analysis.

00:08:34.000 --> 00:08:42.000
That will project, things that are just obvious in the percent change in
population for instance.

00:08:42.000 --> 00:09:05.000
Will we've already discovered that three of the counties already exceed
the number for a congressional district when we look a little bit deeper
at the data, we may see a more serious impact on certain districts, as
well as providing information to the

00:09:05.000 --> 00:09:33.000
entire commission concerning the issue of single member districts versus
multi member districts, which not that we would solve it, but we can
provide some information about how the population in the different
counties is impacted by the requirements

00:09:33.000 --> 00:09:48.000

of marriage. I know we talked about this last time I don't mean to get us off on a sidetrack for a moment, but I was able to find the passage in the governor's executive order that talks about single member districts.

00:09:48.000 --> 00:09:54.000
And it is on page four of the order.

00:09:54.000 --> 00:10:08.000
If people don't mind I'm just going to read it because it's quite brief legislative districts shall be one as nearly equal in population as it's feasible given due regard for natural boundaries and the boundaries of political subdivisions.

00:10:08.000 --> 00:10:22.000
So population equality comes first, second, to the extent possible, and consistent with the Commission's other duties and responsibilities subdivided into single member districts, and then three numbered consecutively commencing at the northwestern boundary

00:10:22.000 --> 00:10:37.000
of the state, and ending at the southeastern boundary. So, when I set our hands were somewhat tied I mean not know your language it's not absolutely mandatory but it's to the extent possible, and consistent so we'll have plenty of time to talk about that.

00:10:37.000 --> 00:10:40.000
It's

00:10:40.000 --> 00:10:44.000
the numbers are

00:10:44.000 --> 00:10:57.000
going to work the same way when people are analyzing our projections as when they have full and final census data.

00:10:57.000 --> 00:11:16.000
but yes analysis I'm various completely right that analysis is very much something where we can add value as a subcommittee. And rather than giving people, raw data we can point out, its significance of how it ties in with the issues that the Commission

00:11:16.000 --> 00:11:23.000
needs to to address this summer quarter

00:11:23.000 --> 00:11:28.000
point two here is types of output. And here.

00:11:28.000 --> 00:11:30.000
The.

00:11:30.000 --> 00:11:37.000
There will certainly be a, an oral briefing element and also a written output element.

00:11:37.000 --> 00:11:46.000
And the full commission I know and Kate was just saying this wants to
hear, probably.

00:11:46.000 --> 00:12:04.000
Every, every time for the foreseeable future wants to hear a briefing
from us on what we have discovered what we've done in general and what
we've discovered relevant to whatever regional listening here and we may
be having.

00:12:04.000 --> 00:12:13.000
But equally important for many people is going to be are written output,
because that can go on the website.

00:12:13.000 --> 00:12:20.000
A lot of people who can't come to the hearings will be very keenly
interested in what we find.

00:12:20.000 --> 00:12:31.000
And so that needs to be, if at all possible, written up well and
concisely for those audiences.

00:12:31.000 --> 00:12:38.000
And, I mean, any suggestions, but my guess is that we might wind up with
spreadsheets and tends to.

00:12:38.000 --> 00:12:45.000
But, Any thoughts on that anyone see directions that you

00:12:45.000 --> 00:12:51.000
definitely think we want to look at her, as far as other types of output.

00:12:51.000 --> 00:13:03.000
Okay. Well, and that ties in with the next bullet point intended
audiences I mentioned before commission mentioned participants original
hearings, other interests that are members of the public, I do think
they'll be wider interested in many of the things

00:13:03.000 --> 00:13:16.000
Members of the public, I do think they'll be wide interested in many of
the things that this subcommittee without any further thoughts on that
before I go on to area three.

00:13:16.000 --> 00:13:32.000
Secretary McCord was finally able to join us. Oh okay, good. Well good I
will then turn it over to him. I don't know how Secretary I don't know
how much you heard of what I was saying or their questions in the
meantime, I don't think, I don't think Secretary

00:13:32.000 --> 00:13:39.000
McCord was able to hear much of what you were able to say he was having
some issues with this link.

00:13:39.000 --> 00:13:53.000

But to bring you up to date Secretary McCord what we've just reviewed thus far simply the first two sections of the agenda which are posted on the screen with regard to the name of the committee, the subcommittee that they are in fact a subcommittee not

00:13:53.000 --> 00:13:58.000
just a committee and the their work and purpose.

00:13:58.000 --> 00:14:14.000
Walter was able to kind of through those sub points within that second section the scope of the committee's work. And the purpose is that they'll serve with regard to how their brief the Commission, the types of data that they will be gathering and utilizing

00:14:14.000 --> 00:14:27.000
for the purposes of this subcommittee. And what and who their intended audiences are along those lines, we haven't been able to provide this subcommittee yet is kind of those types of of documents.

00:14:27.000 --> 00:14:39.000
Websites programs that they can utilize or maps that will be able to provide them which is what I believe you were going to offer this evening. So with that I'll turn it over to you.

00:14:39.000 --> 00:14:41.000
Thanks a lot, Kristen.

00:14:41.000 --> 00:14:43.000
Thank you, Walter members and subcommittee.

00:14:43.000 --> 00:14:46.000
Can you hear me okay my good.

00:14:46.000 --> 00:14:48.000
All right, then. Thank you.

00:14:48.000 --> 00:15:02.000
We are going to look at what we can do to get you a little bit of a head start with what data is available in the data is available, generally from the American Community Survey, and I think some of the members might understand the American Community

00:15:02.000 --> 00:15:25.000
Survey is a very small sample of the population. And it is done with that small sample to extrapolate other characteristics of the population itself so that that comes out all the way I think we would have data from 920 19, and we use a form of a combination

00:15:25.000 --> 00:15:45.000
of the American Community Survey data with the old census data to try to give an idea of what the low response for areas would be throughout the state with the, what's called a room map further, the outreach mapper that the Census Bureau, put together.

00:15:45.000 --> 00:16:03.000
We modified for Maryland to show the kind of things that were indicative
of low response counts. I've asked the data center to take a look at that
roadmap and try to highlight some of the things that would be available
to the members of the subcommittee.

00:16:03.000 --> 00:16:11.000
That would be interesting for the purposes of trying to figure out what
the state looks like. I had a conversation.

00:16:11.000 --> 00:16:23.000
Somewhere this evening at about somewhere about six o'clock, with the
head of the data center about can we show for instance, Hispanic
populations across the state.

00:16:23.000 --> 00:16:39.000
And can we show those populations in kind of a heat map show where there
are more Hispanics, and less Hispanics, all across the state. And then
you may be able to click on a census tract and see numbers and other
details about that census tract.

00:16:39.000 --> 00:16:47.000
But the leading indicator would be the number of Hispanics, we can
potentially do that for Asian populations and other populations
throughout the state.

00:16:47.000 --> 00:17:02.000
What I'm trying to give you would be tools so that you couldn't figure
out where some of these areas that you're going to have to make
decisions, they're going to be you, you know the magic numbers of because
you can take the portion that number and divide

00:17:02.000 --> 00:17:18.000
it by, by eight, and that's 773,160 that I talked about. You know
already, there's three counties by our projections that will show more
population, then would fit in a congressional district.

00:17:18.000 --> 00:17:30.000
So you could get an idea of which direction you might want to go and how
to put a piece of that county into a different county in order to do the
congressional redistricting.

00:17:30.000 --> 00:17:44.000
And then, you can actually take that number and divided by 141 delegate
districts to get kind of a magic number for that. And then times that by
three you go back to the Senate size of a Senate District so.

00:17:44.000 --> 00:18:01.000
So, there are certain round numbers we can use to figure out what a map
might look like. And then when we show you will provide you the tool for
that would show you what the current districts look like in Maryland, and
that's congressional legislative,

00:18:01.000 --> 00:18:19.000

then you'll have an idea of what the population is in each of those counties. What's showing on the screen right now is the population change from April to July of two people, 2010 to July of 2020, and we will try to every time we get a piece of information

00:18:19.000 --> 00:18:34.000
like this pipe, the split graphically for you. So because your interest eventually is graphic, and then try to get to the point where we can give you some indications of what the what the census tracks in each of those geographies might look like.

00:18:34.000 --> 00:18:52.000
And that that process will always caveat with these are numbers that are projections. Not only that, the ACS data, and the recent population data, as you see on the map in front of you, July, 1 2020, the data that you will be getting even on adjusted

00:18:52.000 --> 00:19:12.000
data is April 1 2020 data. So we'll have to make some adjustments for that also. The even having to make those adjustments, you can still get an idea of where population changes have happened, and where the real work needs to be, and your work will be

00:19:12.000 --> 00:19:29.000
based on the guiding principles contained in the executive order and your work will be based on the principles that you, you will work out between yourself some organizing principles about trying to maintain a certain respect for the county boundaries,

00:19:29.000 --> 00:19:40.000
when you can, and if not the county boundaries try to prevent on splitting municipalities, try to prevent splitting communities of interest, you'll develop some organizing principles for yourselves.

00:19:40.000 --> 00:19:55.000
And something else that we would suggest is consider. At the moment, when you look at congressional districts, how many congressmen a county executive for instance will have to interface with in order to address the situation in their county.

00:19:55.000 --> 00:20:06.000
So that, reducing the number of interfaces between the federal government and state government at a certain level, there may be some advantages to considering that.

00:20:06.000 --> 00:20:25.000
So, we will provide as many tools as we can, Again, it will be the best we can do is try to get you some numbers and better we can try to get to something portrayed on a map, and then the best would be if those portrayals can somehow be manipulated in

00:20:25.000 --> 00:20:36.000
a sense you can manipulate that data by going down and checking US Census Tract go all the way down into the census tract give you the best

information we have about that since this track, and then pull out the details from each of the census tract.

00:20:36.000 --> 00:20:51.000
So, there are some places that will not require lots of, lots of kind of finishing work because you know whole counties might be included in a professional district, or maybe play places exactly where that congressional district runs out that you're going

00:20:51.000 --> 00:21:00.000
to have to worry about that. So we're going to try to see what we have. And we're going to try to get it to you in a way that will be most useful to you.

00:21:00.000 --> 00:21:16.000
And if you can think of anything else that we might either have access to or may be able to display will be happy to entertain any suggestions that you might have about data, you may have seen elsewhere, or some suggestions on how to present the data

00:21:16.000 --> 00:21:24.000
that would that would be useful for you and for your purposes so we of course will be.

00:21:24.000 --> 00:21:42.000
I'm not able to give you, political data. And when we say political data I always try to caveat it's not, it's it's registration voter registrations and party, and we can't give you voting records, or any information regarding party affiliation.

00:21:42.000 --> 00:21:56.000
So, um, that's not going to be part of what we give you and we can't give you incumbent addresses and a candidate holder or a candidate for office address so that stuff is not limited to you and we will do our best to make sure that that data doesn't

00:21:56.000 --> 00:22:06.000
get supplied to you by anything that we produce, or that we would recommend from the department plan.

00:22:06.000 --> 00:22:21.000
Any questions from the Subcommittee on what we might be able to do or something that you might want us to take a look at. So, Secretary record I did have one area that we talked about, I believe, briefly before, and where your department, certainly can

00:22:21.000 --> 00:22:31.000
give some information, although it probably will not be our only source but when we have the question of where growth is occurred.

00:22:31.000 --> 00:22:40.000
Let's say on the eastern shore of Queen Anne's County, I think it's the fastest growing economy but it would be of interest to know whether it's

the west side or that side of the content that is how they are the population growth.

00:22:40.000 --> 00:22:58.000
That is how they are the population growth. I believe that the planning website has some materials of relevant to that, although we might want to turn to things like the county planning department or the content redistricting effort or others that might

00:22:58.000 --> 00:23:13.000
have done, deeper research or or just know offhand, the answers some of those questions could could you talk a bit about that. Yes, nope no problem well through the, the, the issue with this, which we called sub county data, data that's below the county

00:23:13.000 --> 00:23:15.000
level as recorded.

00:23:15.000 --> 00:23:21.000
There are some instances where that data is available.

00:23:21.000 --> 00:23:37.000
And if I can't guarantee it's available in every part of the state as readily. I can tell you that the ballroom Metropolitan Council for instance DMC as data for about seven jurisdictions that Baltimore in the surrounding counties, and they have data

00:23:37.000 --> 00:23:54.000
based on building permit activity that gives us indications of percentages of growth. And that's the kind of thing that we can get from the DMC anything that that would be supplied to the commission will be clearly reference for its source.

00:23:54.000 --> 00:24:12.000
So, the wash cog is the other the Washington Council of Governments also has data that would include the National Capital Area jurisdictions, and then the PMC would have the Baltimore Metro jurisdictions, but there's not a corresponding entity on the

00:24:12.000 --> 00:24:16.000
eastern shore or Western Maryland but there may be other things.

00:24:16.000 --> 00:24:32.000
We will check the Appalachian Regional Commission for Western Maryland data to see what they may have. And then at the very top of the Eastern Shore there's a piece of that that's in the Wilmington Metropolitan District as far as Transportation Authority

00:24:32.000 --> 00:24:49.000
goes, so we can check the top of that, and we will we will see what we can get and we will clearly, give you. If we can ascertain growth rates, or if their growth rates published by other sources, we will help and try to plop them onto the mat for you

00:24:49.000 --> 00:24:51.000
to the best we can.

00:24:51.000 --> 00:25:06.000
But we have to my caution is it's very hard when it's when it's data
that's not reported at the county level but below county level, which
part of the county will be very careful about what we supply to you will
have a degree of confidence and what we

00:25:06.000 --> 00:25:17.000
supply to you and then we'll try to give you an idea of the source of
that information and its limitation. So, we will we will do our best to
see what's out there to make it available to you.

00:25:17.000 --> 00:25:22.000
And if anybody comes up with anything that you've done in your own.

00:25:22.000 --> 00:25:36.000
I'll say research or just simply clicking clicking around and found some
information on on population data or population growth rates, there are
some from the Census Bureau, and we provide some county level growth
rates.

00:25:36.000 --> 00:25:48.000
In some instances, we can drive, we can grab those population growth
rates that we've produced at our own at our own our own level, at the at
the planning departments level.

00:25:48.000 --> 00:26:06.000
And the other thing that I will, I will try to see as we're about to
finalize the school enrollment projections for the state. So that first
round of school enrollment projections, gives you some idea of what's
happening in school districts, and what's

00:26:06.000 --> 00:26:28.000
projected to happen in school districts so you may be able to infer some
things from there but but I have to call them that they're not finalized
yet, but we're nearly finished with school enrollment projections, most
of the counties have commented on

00:26:28.000 --> 00:26:42.000
I have to caution that I'm not sure we would have the most current school
attendance boundaries, because they may have changed in jurisdictions,
but that's a, that's another indication of where population may be
growing, where you may have see some decline

00:26:42.000 --> 00:26:59.000
so school enrollment projections are something that since our department
is responsible for producing them. And we confer with each of the school
districts across the state, that is information that once they concurred
that we're within 5% of their projections,

00:26:59.000 --> 00:27:09.000

we will be publishing that as a publication, you do not need the will make it available to everyone on commission but it's a very large.

00:27:09.000 --> 00:27:26.000
It's a very large document that includes population projections at elementary, middle school and high school level. So, I will make that link available to you as soon as it's made public, and I can try to get you an estimate on on the timeframe for completion

00:27:26.000 --> 00:27:29.000
of that piece of work which has been underway for.

00:27:29.000 --> 00:27:44.000
It's been underway for a few months now so it's should be wrapping up soon with all the responses from the counties I saw six responses from the counties, last week when I stepped stopped in the office so that's happening right now that you may be able

00:27:44.000 --> 00:27:48.000
to get some information from that that might be helpful to.

00:27:48.000 --> 00:28:06.000
I can say here in Frederick County, that the under the pressure of growing enrollments and growing population the county has constructed from scratch one new school district, a high school, and you if you looked at where it's located and concluded that

00:28:06.000 --> 00:28:19.000
that's more or less the epicenter of population growth and new housing, you would be right, because the county's, you know, smart about these things that it built the new High School, where it's got the biggest concentration of new families.

00:28:19.000 --> 00:28:23.000
So that is probably something that other companies have done too.

00:28:23.000 --> 00:28:39.000
Yes yes and i i'm one of my other hats that I wear is, I'm a commissioner on the interagency Commission on school construction. So, the four to $500 million worth of money that goes for school construction.

00:28:39.000 --> 00:28:55.000
Those, the data that that's based on comes from planning and shared with the interagency commission school construction. So, we will try to see what we can do to get that make it available to you, to try to see if that gives you a gives you an indication

00:28:55.000 --> 00:29:13.000
of what the census numbers might look like further down the road, Secretary is there any idea of how coven may impact those school projections. So I mean, the number of kids who dropped out of public school or private school or just dropped out completely,

00:29:13.000 --> 00:29:16.000

has skyrocketed over the last year. Yes.

00:29:16.000 --> 00:29:26.000
Jonathan we've been, we've been discussing that for quite some time now actually, and we've been trying to make adjustments. We have been.

00:29:26.000 --> 00:29:35.000
We've been looking at data from the Center for population studies at University of Maryland. Also, there's a there's a demographer, and then Colin, Dr.

00:29:35.000 --> 00:29:41.000
Cohen, who's done some important work there also we are this, the school.

00:29:41.000 --> 00:29:47.000
The funding for schools, and all of the school facilities throughout the state.

00:29:47.000 --> 00:30:03.000
Probably represents more capital investment than the highway system. In total, so it's a really intensive capital system. And so, we right now have seen these dips in population, because of the pandemic.

00:30:03.000 --> 00:30:19.000
Most demographers early on people were, were actually predicting, it would be like a very big set of blizzards so a lot of snow storms in a row that that kind of give, give way to a baby boom let later on because everybody's kind of hunkered down together.

00:30:19.000 --> 00:30:33.000
It's exactly the opposite of that that's what happened. that's what happened. All of all the demographic research at this point shows that most people first of all are having having children later in life.

00:30:33.000 --> 00:30:50.000
And second, once the economic security was putting question. They postponed having a baby. So having the baby later in life, and having the baby later has turned into not having a child for the family, we're not having another child in the family.

00:30:50.000 --> 00:31:06.000
And that's kind of happening across the country. It's really a baby bust, that we're looking at. And so we're trying to study that dip and where that might wear that might end up going, but just recall that kind of a right in the middle of the pandemic.

00:31:06.000 --> 00:31:18.000
And, and you're going to be dealing with numbers that are that are I remind you again, April 1 number so what we had as a snaps on April 1, what we know now is there's going to be a baby.

00:31:18.000 --> 00:31:18.000
Last, that will start to come back, but the number of people who have.

00:31:18.000 --> 00:31:37.000
Last, that will start to come back, but the number of people who have who have defected to either private school, or online learning, um, there have been people in my neighborhood that have been paying companies to provide instruction for all three levels

00:31:37.000 --> 00:31:53.000
of the grade levels that are in the household, a third grader, and a fifth grader and an eighth grader in the same household, it was cheaper to provide instruction provided from at that grade level from a different source in this country or another, to

00:31:53.000 --> 00:32:08.000
have the children learning from that and they were doing quite well. Well those people end up back in the public school system that's still a question mark, but I caution that we have to kind of keep our snapshot of April 1 will give you are probably

00:32:08.000 --> 00:32:24.000
give you a little summary of what we know so far about the baby bust, but those population numbers that you need to deal with are really going to be April 1 numbers, and the people that have postponed past April 1 are not really our consideration, from

00:32:24.000 --> 00:32:39.000
the census standpoint, so that's that's a longer answer than you need it but I think it fills out what your, what your responsibilities are to try to figure out how many people are going to be, and the people born as of April 1 kind of set the stage for

00:32:39.000 --> 00:32:52.000
the numbers. It's just the numbers at the gate has to come down and you have to use a certain set of numbers will give you numbers that may go a little past that, just to give you an idea, because some of the ACS date is going to be for July, the new

00:32:52.000 --> 00:33:08.000
census population estimates from July 1, they're going to be like okay but yeah, April 1, is what you're going to be stuck with with the census numbers to do the math that you have to do is based on census April 1 numbers, even though it took till November

00:33:08.000 --> 00:33:22.000
to complete the census So, so it's a little bit counterintuitive. That's why, take the time to give an explanation to you that you're going to be shooting for April 1, as a snapshot in time of the United States population, even though verification of

00:33:22.000 --> 00:33:36.000
those households happen way after April 1 but we will give you the best information we have with all the caveats that we can. And that, like I said, it's a little bit longer answer but I want you to understand that if we have it available to us, we'll

00:33:36.000 --> 00:33:42.000
make it available to the commission with, with what we can feel is
appropriate caveats.

00:33:42.000 --> 00:33:47.000
Thank you. No problem.

00:33:47.000 --> 00:33:55.000
There more questions Instructor of Record.

00:33:55.000 --> 00:34:07.000
Well, thank you and you're not going away because I I'll probably have
another question in a couple of minutes but not a problem I'm here. Okay.

00:34:07.000 --> 00:34:25.000
If so, I will move on to the third section of the agenda leadership and
responsibilities, and the chair or co chairs of this subcommittee, are
going to be responsible for

00:34:25.000 --> 00:34:33.000
planning the timing of help help them to plan the timing of meetings, and
the agenda presiding.

00:34:33.000 --> 00:34:42.000
And just in general, doing a lot of ways to work with various sorts, with
the full commission for example.

00:34:42.000 --> 00:35:00.000
Here is where I put off the dreaded call for volunteers, because I do
think that there's a good case for having multiple volunteers and I even
think that it may be optimal to have one volunteer from each of the three
categories, and it doesn't have to

00:35:00.000 --> 00:35:01.000
be me.

00:35:01.000 --> 00:35:08.000
Just because I'm chairing tonight's meeting because I can fit much more
back into the background.

00:35:08.000 --> 00:35:09.000
So, please.

00:35:09.000 --> 00:35:27.000
We don't have to decide this tonight if we are not able to decide, but I
would welcome any of the five of us, stepping forward and expressing
interest in being a co chair or chair.

00:35:27.000 --> 00:35:28.000
Oh, come on.

00:35:28.000 --> 00:35:30.000
Jonathan.

00:35:30.000 --> 00:35:32.000
You're the only independent.

00:35:32.000 --> 00:35:38.000
Can we get you to do some culture, I suppose.

00:35:38.000 --> 00:35:49.000
Okay. Now, Charlotte lm don't look at each other so much I can I get one
of you to maybe volunteer.

00:35:49.000 --> 00:35:58.000
Do I have to play Eeny meeny miny moe, give me a week to think about,
okay, we don't we don't get, we don't we don't have to start now.

00:35:58.000 --> 00:36:01.000
But do you think about it because it's.

00:36:01.000 --> 00:36:14.000
Yes, it's more work but it's a chance to make a difference. Mary do I see
you, expressing an interest in being a co chair, maybe.

00:36:14.000 --> 00:36:21.000
Mary you're muted. I'm a good worker. Oh, I'll leave it at that. let
somebody else take the lead.

00:36:21.000 --> 00:36:28.000
That does that mean you'd rather not a co chair.

00:36:28.000 --> 00:36:49.000
I want to talk a little bit more about the scope, so that I don't get
sidetracked, that's my concern about sharing this is to making sure that
it's within the scope of what the full permission the kinds of questions
they feel are appropriate.

00:36:49.000 --> 00:36:56.000
Okay, well, but let's put off the the thing for a week and think about it
more.

00:36:56.000 --> 00:37:11.000
But, Jonathan I'm going to hold you to that ultimate commitment time
because we do want to spread the responsibilities around, and it's a you
you are.

00:37:11.000 --> 00:37:31.000
You know you're you're you're able to make a good difference in this.
Now, The next point is role of layers on the planning department staff
and here.

00:37:31.000 --> 00:37:38.000
staff be routed to the three co chairs of the main commission. Am I
remembering that correctly question or.

00:37:38.000 --> 00:37:49.000

I think that it's okay if I mean what we, what we feel strongly about is that it just comes through me not because I want to control everything but because I just need to record everything.

00:37:49.000 --> 00:38:01.000
So if if members need something, they certainly can reach out to me. I would probably recommend that they see see the CO chairs because obviously it's very important.

00:38:01.000 --> 00:38:19.000
The CO chairs to know what's going on as well but I don't necessarily know if we feel strongly that there needs to be a middleman as well if there's just if there's a need or a request for, where do I find this on your website or or data or whatever it

00:38:19.000 --> 00:38:20.000
might be.

00:38:20.000 --> 00:38:24.000
Secretary record if you wanted to weigh in on that as well that that would be welcome.

00:38:24.000 --> 00:38:37.000
Yeah, it's, it's not really a, it's not a problem with the department responding to any particular person. The issue is making sure that whatever we respond is made available to the entire commission.

00:38:37.000 --> 00:38:50.000
And one of the ways to do that is if it goes through Christian. Then, there are certain particular people in the data section that can come up with the information, they'll run it past their colleagues in the data section.

00:38:50.000 --> 00:39:05.000
And, I mean, for all that we have to do, if, if we had virtually the same request coming in, and one of them went to Person A the data section, and then the second time a similar requesting what's the second person be.

00:39:05.000 --> 00:39:17.000
They're both working on the same thing and they might not know that, especially when lots of stuff ends up being done virtually or after hours so it's not like it'll run through the hallway so I just got that same question, Kristen would know that somebody's

00:39:17.000 --> 00:39:31.000
already looking for what, what, what is the date for the school enrollment projections and when is that when are they going to be finished. And this, that same question, we know exactly the person who asked that question and then to get that answer back

00:39:31.000 --> 00:39:44.000
that's the only reason why we're trying to to filter through Kristen so that we're not, we don't mind answering 15 questions, but if three of

them overlap, and that's to my people doing the same thing or two
different people on the commission then we

00:39:44.000 --> 00:39:59.000
We're two different people on the commission then we try to avoid that we
try to have that consistency coming back to the commission that we know
information we've supplied, and if there that information changes, then
we tell Chris and this information

00:39:59.000 --> 00:40:14.000
change, and then you can get the update right away. Also, so that the
whole commission stays fresh on everything that we have right but it
doesn't necessarily have to be a culture, again, I think as we move
forward, there's going to be so much need for,

00:40:14.000 --> 00:40:27.000
you know, where do I find this Where do I find that hey I was thinking
about this kind of map, would you guys have that, you know, and then
there could be a discussion about, well we don't have that kind of map
but could we created, that kind of thing.

00:40:27.000 --> 00:40:42.000
It's probably a good idea for you know if people reach out through email,
if they if they see see the CO chairs. Certainly when we have our kind of
planning meetings I can update co chairs and bring them into the loop on
anything that may be outstanding

00:40:42.000 --> 00:40:46.000
as well.

00:40:46.000 --> 00:41:00.000
That's, that's more relaxed than than I thought it might be and I like it
better. So, Any other reactions.

00:41:00.000 --> 00:41:13.000
Any more thoughts about the voluntary and leadership or should we move on
to general discussion if there is any one thing we do have to discuss in
general discussion is setting a date for next meeting.

00:41:13.000 --> 00:41:16.000
Any thoughts on timing.

00:41:16.000 --> 00:41:24.000
Do we. Is there a case for doing one on a week or should we skip a week
and maybe try to explain our.

00:41:24.000 --> 00:41:29.000
And along those lines, Walter I know that this past week we had.

00:41:29.000 --> 00:41:44.000
We gave like a couple of different time options if noon. If a new meeting
works for people. We can we can completely accommodate that we just don't
want it we don't want to take up all of your evenings, every week for the
next eight weeks we have meetings

00:41:44.000 --> 00:41:50.000
every Wednesday for the next eight weeks. So to ask you to give up
another one of your evenings.

00:41:50.000 --> 00:42:04.000
Every now and then might be more difficult taking up two days of your
week so certainly if there's a way that we can accommodate that kind of
lunch hour or anytime really, that would work for everybody I just know
that sometimes that lunch hour is just

00:42:04.000 --> 00:42:11.000
kind of an easy way to to slide in a quick meeting if need be.

00:42:11.000 --> 00:42:13.000
Any thoughts or reactions.

00:42:13.000 --> 00:42:28.000
If it's possible I would like some earlier meetings. My wife is often
working on West Coast time so these hours. I'm usually wrangling our
daughter so to have some earlier in the day during the work that you know
I can schedule around it, different during

00:42:28.000 --> 00:42:43.000
the work day. I think Cheryl mentioned, she'd be available for that.

00:42:43.000 --> 00:43:01.000
My easiest day for a new easiest days for new meetings will probably be
Monday and Thursday. Off the top of my head. Would that work for you,
Cheryl as well.

00:43:01.000 --> 00:43:09.000
It's a Monday Thursday at noon, like if there were, if there was a
potential to have a meeting that wouldn't take up an evening if we could
do it at lunch.

00:43:09.000 --> 00:43:23.000
Are you ever able to kind of break free for that noon hour to take half
an hour, an hour. I would just use my time and make myself available
without to be as long as there's no like urgent meetings, things like
that.

00:43:23.000 --> 00:43:29.000
I could work coming towards the end of June, that's you know. Yeah.

00:43:29.000 --> 00:43:34.000
Can I also put out a possibility, which is.

00:43:34.000 --> 00:43:51.000
We've had an organizational meeting, which helps with the public,
transparency, to what extent but it makes sense for us to use emails
among the group of five plus Christian to get some things done.

00:43:51.000 --> 00:44:00.000

Certainly if people have questions about research them and I want to wait two weeks for this kind of meeting.

00:44:00.000 --> 00:44:06.000
But aid, an email might be able to resolve the question that same afternoon.

00:44:06.000 --> 00:44:17.000
What are the minuses of doing it that way a question do you think, with regard to a daytime meeting or we'll know, with respect to.

00:44:17.000 --> 00:44:36.000
If we see areas that we want to do research on, you know, ask the planning department about or perhaps said, you know, I found this What does the subcommittee think of this possibly using group email.

00:44:36.000 --> 00:44:46.000
Now group email, of course, is subject to all the public, transparency, under somewhat different rules but but all those documents, need to be kept they are all.

00:44:46.000 --> 00:45:01.000
You know, you can explain this better than I can. But it, it seems to pick early research oriented group might be able to cooperate better in some ways that way, then by waiting for okay it's no face to face meetings.

00:45:01.000 --> 00:45:15.000
Right. And I think, again, of course, that is, you know, anyone can file a Public Information Act request. If we were to have a discussion for example on one of these meetings, whether it be nude or in the evening or whatever it may be a subcommittee

00:45:15.000 --> 00:45:31.000
meeting, or even if it was part and parcel of a summary to the full commission during one of the commission meetings in which we discussed, we've researched this topic is what we've had, we would then have to provide that information to whomever filed

00:45:31.000 --> 00:45:50.000
a PA, and or we can post it to the website under past meetings. So for example, if there is an email strand between this group with regard to some documents that we want to take to access or websites or reports or wherever, whatever it might be.

00:45:50.000 --> 00:46:00.000
Once we have them in hand, we can post them to the website, or we can post them when we discuss them with the full commission.

00:46:00.000 --> 00:46:04.000
During those meetings and get them posted that.

00:46:04.000 --> 00:46:23.000

So just something to think about it, it does give us some options because I know so many of us are busy and I'm among them. And if we can make the progress that way, and do a decent job of transparency, just something to think about might be a way to

00:46:23.000 --> 00:46:31.000
present in my only my only caution water would be that. But, that one's five and you start getting involved in the conversation.

00:46:31.000 --> 00:46:48.000
That's probably the better subject matter for an agenda item at a meeting, and you will have convened a quorum of the commission that would be capable of business, so that the pre announcement means that we have to pre announce to the public that we want

00:46:48.000 --> 00:46:58.000
to have that conversation, even if it's done by ml. I'm afraid that that's probably going to be the ruling So, and here it is.

00:46:58.000 --> 00:47:10.000
Another reason if even if two people have the same data request that came in through Kristen to the the thing that's different. Having two people asking the same question than it is five people conversing.

00:47:10.000 --> 00:47:26.000
If you think if you had a laptop and you opened it up around, around the Dyess that you were sitting at all the things going back and forth Commission on that on that on that laptop and an open meeting would be subject to the Public Information Act, also,

00:47:26.000 --> 00:47:45.000
but whether you convene five people who could transact the business of commission, it's I think it's a little bit better, to make sure that, you know, simple questions go to the one source and discussions about that that start happening in email, aren't

00:47:45.000 --> 00:47:49.000
available to the public, and I think that becomes problematic.

00:47:49.000 --> 00:48:05.000
I should have. I should have known, but that's okay. No, it's, it's, I don't want to. I don't want to discourage you from having the piece of information come to me, or for the department, but through Kristen, and even if it's even as the same request

00:48:05.000 --> 00:48:22.000
a couple times, that's easier to deal with. And I don't want to make it look like that, this subcommittee is transacting business, on behalf of the whole commission outside the purview of the public so I want to make sure that if there's anything that

00:48:22.000 --> 00:48:26.000
could seem like that was happening we would avoid that.

00:48:26.000 --> 00:48:34.000
Not to say that it would but I'm just saying, if it seems like that let's
have witness information, requests come through one place and let the
subcommittee now.

00:48:34.000 --> 00:48:36.000
My other suggestion would be.

00:48:36.000 --> 00:48:52.000
Once the department is able to get together, a set of data, we would get
it to you. And then you may want to have, you know, several days to take
a look at it, and then you're going to be full of questions.

00:48:52.000 --> 00:48:59.000
Getting together as a subcommittee after you've gotten the data, and then
say, What do you make of it. What else can be done with it.

00:48:59.000 --> 00:49:17.000
That might help our innocence our data trenches back out to you guys will
probably be a time for you to ponder it, and then decide, among
yourselves. When would you have another meeting about something that
you're dealing with just just from the data

00:49:17.000 --> 00:49:31.000
side, you can have other issues that you're dealing with as a commission
but as a subcommittee, I would. My recommendation is that you, you, you
call the meeting, even if it's an afternoon meeting, and then let the
agenda be posted and let anybody who's

00:49:31.000 --> 00:49:40.000
interested, be able to join, even in the middle of the day.

00:49:40.000 --> 00:49:41.000
Hello question.

00:49:41.000 --> 00:49:43.000
Okay.

00:49:43.000 --> 00:49:54.000
I know that individuals who observe this could come up with questions and
things of that nature, or our group, or have certain types of data that
might make sense for us to look into.

00:49:54.000 --> 00:49:58.000
Well that come up in a following meeting so we can explore that as well.

00:49:58.000 --> 00:50:07.000
Or will we have to wait until we say yes, pull it up, or will the
planning department headed for us by the next time we meet, how will that
work.

00:50:07.000 --> 00:50:22.000

If somebody from the public tonight was saying you should look at x y z report on population in Calvert county for instance just pick us up off top of my head, and.

00:50:22.000 --> 00:50:30.000
And we were able to access that report, we would say, we found the report, somebody suggested that you take a look at it, we've configured it out to you.

00:50:30.000 --> 00:50:44.000
Once we tracked it down. Got it. And we'd like to for it to either with we make no recommendation, or we caution that the data is only about from 2014 and there hasn't been any update to it.

00:50:44.000 --> 00:50:48.000
So, We wouldn't wait and hold it.

00:50:48.000 --> 00:51:01.000
We would just hold it in enough time to have the data side, evaluate it, and then send it on, send it on to you, almost immediately so soon as we able to get our hands on something that someone suggested, and then we let you decide whether it's helpful

00:51:01.000 --> 00:51:13.000
to you. We would just try to get the data for you if somebody made a suggestion, we would try to track it down, and we would do that legwork for you. You're free to you're free to take a look at anything you want to look at anytime you want to look at,

00:51:13.000 --> 00:51:22.000
but if that's if your answer if your question is, if somebody made a suggestion, we were able to find it, we would find it, and then forward it to you.

00:51:22.000 --> 00:51:37.000
And from the data side with with their with every comment they would have it, and then when you public information also our forwarding that data to say Thank you.

00:51:37.000 --> 00:51:40.000
Could I ask a prostate.

00:51:40.000 --> 00:51:44.000
For other commissioners.

00:51:44.000 --> 00:51:53.000
If you have research projects that you are came to begin, or that you remember we talked about that.

00:51:53.000 --> 00:51:57.000
You want to make sure, move forward in some way.

00:51:57.000 --> 00:52:00.000
Tell us about that.

00:52:00.000 --> 00:52:21.000
Because I think part of the potential for this subcommittee is are doing
independent research and and bring it to the other four and saying, you
know, look, look what I discovered, but is anyone expect to do that in
the next week or two.

00:52:21.000 --> 00:52:33.000
I've been working on that all along with the thought that we needed to
have some general information to open our public hearings with our
listening.

00:52:33.000 --> 00:52:34.000
times.

00:52:34.000 --> 00:52:52.000
So I've looked at the fact that it's I think it's very interesting that
since 1960, we have not gained or lost any congressional representation
for instance and that's, you know, people may not even realize that we've
been at eight, you know, congressional

00:52:52.000 --> 00:53:03.000
districts since 1960, and then explain that's because we've been growing
at the rate of the United States and therefore the state of Maryland has,
you know, kind of kept pace.

00:53:03.000 --> 00:53:26.000
But, that being the case and then go into the fact that. However, now we
have many counties in, you know, that over the last 10 years are showing
a definite decline in population, while others are growing any rate, five
to 10 minutes, or seven minutes

00:53:26.000 --> 00:53:48.000
of information that would be related to the numbers, we don't have to get
into all of the aspects of the demographics at that opening hearing, but
just to give people an idea of what we will be looking at and how it's
really important for them to share

00:53:48.000 --> 00:53:57.000
information with the group right now before we begin any hard work. That
was my thought.

00:53:57.000 --> 00:54:09.000
As a presentation for the eastern shore gathering in a week. Similarly,
set well for instance it's.

00:54:09.000 --> 00:54:12.000
We have

00:54:12.000 --> 00:54:15.000
six counties.

00:54:15.000 --> 00:54:25.000

All except for Garrett county all on the eastern shore, who have a population countywide it's below 43,000 people.

00:54:25.000 --> 00:54:44.000
And that would, you know, things like that that's a different aspect of they, they may not realize how different the county sizes are in Maryland, I mean if you don't study this stuff you may not, it may not be that grossly apparent to you but any rate,

00:54:44.000 --> 00:54:53.000
that's what I was thinking, oh someone's working on such presentation, I'd love to chime in.

00:54:53.000 --> 00:54:58.000
Well that's just what I was going to say because they.

00:54:58.000 --> 00:55:10.000
I'm pretty sure that parts of thought, although you what you say add Zm some interesting things that might not have been in the presentation, but I would guess that.

00:55:10.000 --> 00:55:27.000
For example, in the first is to ensure meeting some combination of the planning department, and perhaps one of the CO chairs will, in conjunction with having maps available to the attendees.

00:55:27.000 --> 00:55:43.000
Just take a couple of basic dips into the numbers, for example, two counties on the eastern shore have grown faster than the state of Maryland. All the rest of them have been either, even with or in most cases, going was fast.

00:55:43.000 --> 00:56:00.000
Likewise, there could be mentions of how many roughly how many assembly districts, the eastern shore counter is health currently, and whether that number is expected to go up or down I believe it will be down, but that just a few basics like that, but

00:56:00.000 --> 00:56:05.000
I would think that there would be room for

00:56:05.000 --> 00:56:22.000
potentially more than one presentation or, so long as they're kept brief, or perhaps as you said, providing some information to the person, whoever it is, who's presenting thought, so that they can consider adding in facts that are interesting and different

00:56:22.000 --> 00:56:30.000
such as that Maryland just had such an exceptionally stable number of seats for two generations or more.

00:56:30.000 --> 00:56:49.000

But yeah, the, I think it's important and setting the stage for the regional hearings to introduce some of the sort of information that will be of interest, most people present I think that kind of population

00:56:49.000 --> 00:57:13.000
data regarding whichever area is the hit the hearing is about is never going to be a waste of time we want to keep it concise, but it will help focus people on why and what we're really there to have to decide eventually.

00:57:13.000 --> 00:57:22.000
So I have a question is in regards to research projects that was brought up previously, how do we handle.

00:57:22.000 --> 00:57:41.000
Someone from the public, reaching out to us individually to share their research project on you know their area that they live in or the that they want to move into or whatever, do we bring that information to the commission or do we disregard and how

00:57:41.000 --> 00:57:43.000
do we handle that.

00:57:43.000 --> 00:57:57.000
I'll take a stab at that unless someone else wants to answer it, which is, if its population related if it's related to the work of this subcommittee, then I think taking it to

00:57:57.000 --> 00:58:00.000
one of our meetings of the subcommittee.

00:58:00.000 --> 00:58:16.000
You know, sending ever want to copy and introducing it as an agenda item or or or doing during general discussion, would be a good way to let the five of us take a first stab, if it's a population issue now sometimes people will come to you on won't be

00:58:16.000 --> 00:58:32.000
population issues, they'll be like, you know, ideas about communities of interest or odd ideas about various other things where it doesn't relate to, specifically the work of this summit in which case I would say bring it to the full nine.

00:58:32.000 --> 00:58:35.000
You know set.

00:58:35.000 --> 00:58:56.000
And it potentially could be an agenda item or if maybe if if for some reason it's not as suitable like we have already seen it or it's, you know there's there's some problem with it just have the discussion of why it would not be an agenda item but in

00:58:56.000 --> 00:58:57.000
general.

00:58:57.000 --> 00:59:00.000
If it's a, if it's of interest.

00:59:00.000 --> 00:59:04.000
Beyond the four corners of our subcommittees work.

00:59:04.000 --> 00:59:17.000
Then, if you send it to any of the three co chairs, they can take it from there. As far as whether it's,

00:59:17.000 --> 00:59:23.000
you know, makes a good agenda item, and something is also will probably

00:59:23.000 --> 00:59:29.000
be important, but will be better to wait for when we are taking on some particular issue that it relates to.

00:59:29.000 --> 00:59:41.000
There's a whole bunch of issues that we're just not going to get into for a couple of months, and sometimes saving it for them is going to be the right answer, but again, send it to any of the three co chairs if you have any doubt about that stuff.

00:59:41.000 --> 00:59:49.000
All right, thank you. I would say to just I would just say to send it to all of the three co chairs, just because it's going to help with that whole communication.

00:59:49.000 --> 00:59:53.000
Whenever you send it to one they're going to send it to the other two anyways. Right, right.

00:59:53.000 --> 00:59:59.000
If I can make a suggestion I think this might be the first time.

00:59:59.000 --> 01:00:08.000
I think the first time this has come up really about the public sending stuff to commissioners themselves, and then the conditions wanted to share the information.

01:00:08.000 --> 01:00:25.000
The only thing I'm, I'm thinking out loud on now is that if if the public inadvertently or, or on purpose, sends information that's political data that contains the data in layers that the public might not have seen or might not have been interested in.

01:00:25.000 --> 01:00:44.000
Then, the commission, sharing the political data would be potentially problematic. So my suggestion might be that if data is forwarded to you from, you know, the public as a commissioner you might send that data to Kristen, so that it can be forwarded

01:00:44.000 --> 01:00:59.000

to a co chairs and then everyone else, but we will take a look at that in case someone has sent you, something that has, you know, three layers on the top that are very good, but the fourth layer is Democrats and Republicans for instance for the fourth

01:00:59.000 --> 01:01:09.000
layer is where somebody else, somebody who's running for office is located, that kind of information that that you're not supposed to be dealing with with the executive order.

01:01:09.000 --> 01:01:28.000
I'm just I'm just trying to give you a way to for the information and asset before we get on as long as the information is doesn't contain information not supposed to be considering it might be the easiest way to deal with that, and I, we haven't had

01:01:28.000 --> 01:01:44.000
it happen yet. I'm not accusing anybody of saying they're looking at political data I'm just saying that what we supply will be information that you're permitted to see and put it in by the executive order, not prohibited from looking at, but I can't

01:01:44.000 --> 01:01:59.000
guarantee that's things that come in from the public will be literally consistent with the executive order so that would be my suggestion that if, if a commissioner receives information from somebody from the public that it gets submitted to Kristen Kristen

01:01:59.000 --> 01:02:16.000
will log it in and then we'll review it and then send it out and we'll just keep a record of everything that commissioners ever seen. That way, it may be just easier not to not to worry about whether the commissioner saw a layer in the data that, that

01:02:16.000 --> 01:02:30.000
may not have been immediately apparent to them, that's that's my that's my thought right now. Okay, thank you because as someone who's used to into my line of work was used to handling sensitive information I want to make sure that there was some type

01:02:30.000 --> 01:02:44.000
of process of discussion as to how we're supposed to handle information that we receive that was sent to us directly from someone in the public. So, yeah, I just want to make sure that it's a, it's a great question and thanks for bringing it up, this

01:02:44.000 --> 01:02:58.000
soon. I just, I just think that natural inclination is hey look somebody sent me this and you share it with your colleagues in the commission. But if it's something that contains information that you're really not supposed to be considering then instead

01:02:58.000 --> 01:03:09.000

of trying to unring the bell, we would try to check and see if there was a bell in there that that you weren't allowed to listen to, in that sense, and we have to keep a record of it and keep a log of everything that we got to make sure that nobody accuses

01:03:09.000 --> 01:03:14.000
us of changing at the data we would just say this data is not available to you.

01:03:14.000 --> 01:03:19.000
Okay.

01:03:19.000 --> 01:03:22.000
Great

01:03:22.000 --> 01:03:32.000
job, we want to try to find a date for the next meeting of this subcommittee or should we play that by ear

01:03:32.000 --> 01:03:37.000
is Monday night.

01:03:37.000 --> 01:03:50.000
Okay, I'm in August we didn't get to the question of whether we want to wait one week or two weeks maybe before trying to have another meeting. And I think we decided that it would be a Monday or Thursday at noon.

01:03:50.000 --> 01:03:53.000
At noon. Okay.

01:03:53.000 --> 01:04:10.000
Any suggestions or particular dates the door don't work or preferably Thursday to Monday is I'm barely a functioning adult.

01:04:10.000 --> 01:04:18.000
So June, 10, June, 10 would be the that or you could do it.

01:04:18.000 --> 01:04:39.000
When I don't, I don't June 3 would be mean that's this week so you could go June 10 or you could skip to June 17 which which might be just fine given how much work there is to do this week and how much information that still has to be gathered.

01:04:39.000 --> 01:04:50.000
Put that's okay with me but I do suggest skipping, we're going to get awfully tired of meetings if we try to have one of the week of June 17 is quite okay with me.

01:04:50.000 --> 01:04:58.000
Okay. does June 17 work for everyone at noon.

01:04:58.000 --> 01:05:02.000
I think I can make that work. Yeah, I can make that work.

01:05:02.000 --> 01:05:13.000
And what we will do is, to the extent that we have stuff available from
the Department of Planning. We're not going to hold it until the night of
the meeting, we will try to get it to you as it becomes available to us.

01:05:13.000 --> 01:05:26.000
That way, you can start dealing with it and honoring it and, you know,
preparing questions and all that kind of stuff so I want to try to keep
you all working, and I'm not going to try to back everything up.

01:05:26.000 --> 01:05:37.000
If I get a couple pieces couple hunks of things. I will send it out chunk
at a time to the subcommittee so that you can take a look at it. I know,
If you've got the time.

01:05:37.000 --> 01:05:50.000
I don't want to. I don't want to jam you up by doing it our convenience,
I want to make sure that it's done at your convenience and we get it to
sooner than later so whatever we have, I'll try to match up in a big
giant, I'll just send it out to you as

01:05:50.000 --> 01:05:52.000
we get it. Is that helpful to you.

01:05:52.000 --> 01:05:55.000
Yes, I just have one request.

01:05:55.000 --> 01:06:13.000
Can you put really definitive descriptive names and a date with every
table and map that you put out because somewhat so often I'll download
something once and then, oh my gosh, did I already download that one but
they all have the same name and I don't

01:06:13.000 --> 01:06:31.000
know if they're very good point will try to will try to come up with a
descriptive. If it is the same thing put a version number on it or a date
time group, or good idea not to military but something that's a great
idea.

01:06:31.000 --> 01:06:40.000
And there that's that's a really good idea and we'll come up with a
system to try to make sure that I'm the, I'm the I do it all the time.
People send me stuff all the time.

01:06:40.000 --> 01:06:49.000
I save it and then I save it and I save it and I don't remember which one
I saved and if I save it on different days I think it's different person
it's the same thing.

01:06:49.000 --> 01:06:59.000
We'll try to do our part to make it easy easier for you and thanks for
that suggestion thanks that's great. Thank you. Yeah, Yeah.

01:06:59.000 --> 01:07:08.000

Okay. In the interest of time, it's, it's 806. Walter and Eric, Jonathan.

01:07:08.000 --> 01:07:12.000
I don't have any other business to any other members of other business.

01:07:12.000 --> 01:07:19.000
Okay, so you know, is there a motion to adjourn move to adjourn in a second.

01:07:19.000 --> 01:07:24.000
Second, and also in favor. Aye. Aye.

01:07:24.000 --> 01:07:48.000
Any opposed. Okay meeting is adjourned sale.